

Exhibit 300: Capital Asset Summary

Part I: Summary Information And Justification (All Capital Assets)

Section A: Overview & Summary Information

Date Investment First Submitted: 2009-06-30
Date of Last Change to Activities: 2012-08-01
Investment Auto Submission Date: 2012-02-28
Date of Last Investment Detail Update: 2012-02-28
Date of Last Exhibit 300A Update: 2012-08-01
Date of Last Revision: 2012-08-01

Agency: 005 - Department of Agriculture **Bureau:** 15 - National Agricultural Statistics Service

Investment Part Code: 01

Investment Category: 00 - Agency Investments

1. Name of this Investment: NASS Survey Processing System

2. Unique Investment Identifier (Ull): 005-000002152

Section B: Investment Detail

- 1. Provide a brief summary of the investment, including a brief description of the related benefit to the mission delivery and management support areas, and the primary beneficiary(ies) of the investment. Include an explanation of any dependencies between this investment and other investments.**

The steady-state survey processing system investment at the National Agricultural Statistics Service (NASS) provides effective and efficient electronic survey management, data entry, data collection, data editing, data analysis, and data summarization or tabulation for hundreds of agricultural surveys annually. These surveys cover topics such as crop production, grain stocks, livestock inventories, prices paid and received by farmers and ranchers, farm labor, farm income, farm expenditures, farm numbers, and chemical usage. The survey processing system investment generates national, state, and local agricultural statistics. The survey processing system investment contributes significantly to NASS' ability to meet its mission of providing timely, accurate, and useful agricultural statistics in service to U.S. agriculture. Producers, farm organizations, lawmakers, and government agencies all rely on the agricultural statistics generated by NASS. Major uses of the agricultural statistics produced through the survey processing system investment are: input into the U.S. principal economic indicators; Farm Bill counter-cyclical payments; disaster payment determination; group risk policy payments and premiums; livestock compensation program; risk analysis in re-registration of agricultural chemicals; and providing a level playing field for the commodity markets through equal access to agricultural statistics. The survey processing system has positioned NASS to provide the public approximately 500 national agricultural statistics reports annually in a timely, accurate, and efficient manner. By implementing this investment

over a decade ago, which added automated survey management, interactive data editing, and advanced data analysis capabilities, NASS enhanced its capability to process hundreds of surveys each year in a timely and accurate manner and also reduced the overall survey processing costs.

2. How does this investment close in part or in whole any identified performance gap in support of the mission delivery and management support areas? Include an assessment of the program impact if this investment isn't fully funded.

This is a Steady State investment established many years ago to stay current with technologies (migrate from Cobol to SAS) and is scheduled to sunset in FY-13.

3. Provide a list of this investment's accomplishments in the prior year (PY), including projects or useful components/project segments completed, new functionality added, or operational efficiency achieved.

This is a Steady State Investment with following accomplishments 1. 500 Reports Annually. 2. The Investment leads to outcomes that are On Time and Accurate. 3. The Investment has been performing Under Budget 4. This investest will sunset in 2013 and will be replaced by modernized application services..

4. Provide a list of planned accomplishments for current year (CY) and budget year (BY).

The survey processing system has positioned NASS to provide the public approximately 500 national agricultural statistics reports annually in a timely, accurate, and efficient manner. This investment has added automated survey management, interactive data editing, and advanced data analysis capabilities, NASS enhanced its capability to process hundreds of surveys each year in a timely and accurate manner and also reduced the overall survey processing costs. Survey Systems are very flexible to handle changes in a Program.

5. Provide the date of the Charter establishing the required Integrated Program Team (IPT) for this investment. An IPT must always include, but is not limited to: a qualified fully-dedicated IT program manager, a contract specialist, an information technology specialist, a security specialist and a business process owner before OMB will approve this program investment budget. IT Program Manager, Business Process Owner and Contract Specialist must be Government Employees.

2011-09-08

Section C: Summary of Funding (Budget Authority for Capital Assets)

1.

Table I.C.1 Summary of Funding

	PY-1 & Prior	PY 2011	CY 2012	BY 2013
Planning Costs:	\$0.0	\$0.0	\$0.0	\$0.0
DME (Excluding Planning) Costs:	\$0.0	\$0.0	\$0.0	\$0.0
DME (Including Planning) Govt. FTEs:	\$0.0	\$0.0	\$0.0	\$0.0
Sub-Total DME (Including Govt. FTE):	0	0	0	0
O & M Costs:	\$19.0	\$1.2	\$1.2	\$1.1
O & M Govt. FTEs:	\$35.9	\$2.4	\$2.3	\$2.3
Sub-Total O & M Costs (Including Govt. FTE):	\$54.9	\$3.6	\$3.5	\$3.4
Total Cost (Including Govt. FTE):	\$54.9	\$3.6	\$3.5	\$3.4
Total Govt. FTE costs:	\$35.9	\$2.4	\$2.3	\$2.3
# of FTE rep by costs:	441	20	20	20
Total change from prior year final President's Budget (\$)		\$-0.1	\$-0.2	
Total change from prior year final President's Budget (%)		-2.50%	-5.50%	

2. If the funding levels have changed from the FY 2012 President's Budget request for PY or CY, briefly explain those changes:

No change.

Section D: Acquisition/Contract Strategy (All Capital Assets)

Table I.D.1 Contracts and Acquisition Strategy

Contract Type	EVM Required	Contracting Agency ID	Procurement Instrument Identifier (PIID)	Indefinite Delivery Vehicle (IDV) Reference ID	IDV Agency ID	Solicitation ID	Ultimate Contract Value (\$M)	Type	PBSA ?	Effective Date	Actual or Expected End Date
Awarded		AG3K06D120029	GS35F0069V	4730							
Awarded		AG3K06D120039	GS35F0529V	4730							
Awarded		AG3K06D120025									
Awarded		AG3K06P120030									
Awarded		AG3K06K110038	AG3142B090010	1205							

2. If earned value is not required or will not be a contract requirement for any of the contracts or task orders above, explain why:

This steady state investment consists of annual software maintenance agreements and support services for operational activities. This mature and successful system has been in operational and maintenance mode for well over a decade, has stable and static costs for the maintenance agreements and support services contracts needed to maintain the effectiveness of the system.

Exhibit 300B: Performance Measurement Report

Section A: General Information

Date of Last Change to Activities: 2012-08-01

Section B: Project Execution Data

Table II.B.1 Projects

Project ID	Project Name	Project Description	Project Start Date	Project Completion Date	Project Lifecycle Cost (\$M)
NASSurvey	Survey Processing Systems operations.	Maintaining the steady state system used to generate approximately 500 reports annually. There are no projects spanning fiscal years and the investment will be sunset in BY-13.			

Activity Summary

Roll-up of Information Provided in Lowest Level Child Activities

Project ID	Name	Total Cost of Project Activities (\$M)	End Point Schedule Variance (in days)	End Point Schedule Variance (%)	Cost Variance (\$M)	Cost Variance (%)	Total Planned Cost (\$M)	Count of Activities
NASSurvey	Survey Processing Systems operations.							

Key Deliverables

Project Name	Activity Name	Description	Planned Completion Date	Projected Completion Date	Actual Completion Date	Duration (in days)	Schedule Variance (in days)	Schedule Variance (%)
--------------	---------------	-------------	-------------------------	---------------------------	------------------------	--------------------	-----------------------------	-----------------------

NONE

Section C: Operational Data

Table II.C.1 Performance Metrics

Metric Description	Unit of Measure	FEA Performance Measurement Category Mapping	Measurement Condition	Baseline	Target for PY	Actual for PY	Target for CY	Reporting Frequency
Percent of NASS reports released on the date and time pre-specified to the data users.	Percent	Process and Activities - Cycle Time and Timeliness	Over target	100.000000	100.000000		100.000000	Semi-Annual
Number of NASS reports released late due to Survey Processing System problems.	Number of Reports	Technology - Reliability and Availability	Over target	0.000000	0.000000		0.000000	Monthly
Number of erratas issued due to Survey Processing System problems.	Number of Erratas	Customer Results - Service Quality	Over target	0.000000	0.000000		0.000000	Quarterly
Number of NASS reports and releases issued.	Number of Reports	Mission and Business Results - Services for Citizens	Over target	550.000000	550.000000		550.000000	Semi-Annual
System Maintenance Cost.	Dollars(000)	Technology - Technology Costs	Over target	3600.000000	3600.000000		3600.000000	Semi-Annual